

A Variational Principle for Markov Processes

Jinwen Chen¹

Received November 9, 1998

In this note, we first present a result concerning a variational principle for general Markov processes. Then we apply it to spin particle systems to obtain a full form of a variational principle characterizing the stationary Markov laws of the systems. A related extreme decomposition for any stationary distribution of such Markov systems is also given.

KEY WORDS: Interacting particle system; variational principle; ergodicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for the study of this paper comes from the characterization of the equilibrium states of interacting particle systems. In ref. 1, based on the results of refs. 3 and 4, we investigated the large deviation principle for general spin particle systems and attempted to obtain a variational principle characterizing the stationary Markov laws of the systems as the zeros of the rate functions. But only a partial form of such a variational principle was proved. The main purpose of the present paper is to give a full form of a variational principle. Since our approach has some generality, we first give a result in a general setting, then apply it to spin particle systems.

Let X be a Polish space, $E = X^{Z^d}$ equipped with the product topology and the corresponding Borel σ -algebra; $\Omega = D(R, E)$ and $\Omega_+ = D([0, \infty), E)$ be the spaces of cadlog functions from R and $[0, \infty)$ to E , respectively, both equipped with the Skorohod topology and the corresponding Borel σ -algebra. For each $i \in Z^d$ and $t \geq 0$, $\theta_{t,i}$ is the shift operator on Ω_+ defined by

$$(\theta_{t,i}\omega)_s(j) = \omega_{s+t}(i+j), \quad \omega \in \Omega_+, \quad s \geq 0, \quad j \in Z^d$$

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China.

Denote by $M_s(\Omega_+)$ the space of probability measures on Ω_+ which are invariant under each $\theta_{t,i}$, equipped with the weak topology. $M_s(\Omega)$ is defined similarly. Each $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$ can be regarded as in $M_s(\Omega_+)$ in a natural way. $M_s^e(\Omega_+)$ is the set of extreme elements of $M_s(\Omega_+)$. Let $\{P_\eta, \eta \in E\}$ be a Markov family of probability measures on Ω_+ , weakly continuous in η . The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 1.1. Let H_0 be a function from $M_s(\Omega_+)$ to $[0, \infty]$ which is affine and lower semicontinuous (lsc). The following two statements are equivalent:

- (1) For $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$, $H_0(Q) = 0$ iff $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s.;
- (2) For $Q \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$, $H_0(Q) = 0$ iff $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s.;

where Q_ω^p is the regular conditional probability measure of Q given the σ -algebra $F_p = \sigma\{\omega_t: t \leq 0\}$.

Remark 1. In particle systems, it is important to characterize those $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$ which are Markovian with $P_\eta, \eta \in E$ as their regular conditional probability measures. An interesting way to do this is to characterize such Q 's as the zeros of some entropy function H_0 . In this way, Theorem 1.1 tells us that it suffices to characterize such Q 's in $M_s^e(\Omega_+)$. In particular, if one obtained some large deviation estimates, H_0 may be taken to be the rate function, and (2) can be obtained in a relatively easy way.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 concerns the ergodic decomposition for stationary measures, from which we can obtain an extreme decomposition for the stationary distributions of $P_\eta, \eta \in E$. To state this result more precisely, we used some more notations. Let $m_1(E)$ be the space of probability measures on E , $m_i(E)$ the set of stationary distributions of $P_\eta, \eta \in E$ and $m_s(E)$ the set of those $\mu \in m_1(E)$ which are invariant under each $\theta_i = \theta_{0,i}$. For $\nu \in m_1(E)$, define

$$P_\nu = \int P_\eta \nu(d\eta)$$

and for $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$, denote by ν_Q its single time marginal. Then we have the following

Theorem 1.2. Let H_0 be as in Theorem 1.1 and assume that one of the two equivalent statements (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1 holds. Then for

$v \in m_i(E) \cap m_s(E), P_v \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$ iff $v \in (m_i(E) \cap m_s(E))_e$, the set of extreme elements in $m_i(E) \cap m_s(E)$. In particular, for each $v \in m_i(E) \cap m_s(E)$, there exists a probability measure π on $(m_i(E) \cap m_s(E))_e$, such that

$$v = \int_{(m_i(E) \cap m_s(E))_e} \mu \pi(d\mu) \tag{1.1}$$

Remark 2. It is well known that for each $v \in m_s(E)$ there is an ergodic decomposition similar to (1.1). so (1.1) can be called the extreme decomposition for $v \in m_i(E) \cap m_s(E)$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Section 2. In Section 3, we apply Theorem 1.1 to spin particle systems to obtain a full form of a variational principle.

2. PROOFS

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need to use the entropy function H for time-empirical processes of the system, for the precise definition of H , we refer to ref. 6. In ref. 2 (where we used the notation H_T for H) we have proved the following.

Theorem 2.1. (1) For a time-stationary probability measure Q on Ω_+ , $H(Q) = 0$ iff $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s.

(2) For $v \in m_i(E), P_v$ is time-shift ergodic iff $v \in (m_i(E))_e$, the set of extreme points of $m_i(E)$.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Obviously, we only need to prove that (2) implies (1). To this end, let $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$ satisfying $H_0(Q) = 0$. By Proposition 5.2.16 in ref. 5, there exists a probability measure ρ on $M_s^e(\Omega_+)$, such that

$$Q = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega)} Q' \rho(dQ') \tag{2.1}$$

Since H_0 is affine and lsc, from Lemma 5.4.24 in ref. 5 we know that

$$0 = H_0(Q) = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega_+)} H_0(Q') \rho(dQ') \tag{2.2}$$

Hence $H_0(Q') = 0$ ρ -a.s. and therefore, by (2), for ρ almost all Q' , $Q'_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0} Q'$ -a.s. Since H is also affine and lsc (cf. ref. 6), from (2.1) and Theorem 2.1 we see

$$H(Q) = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega_+)} H(Q') \rho(dQ') = 0$$

this implies $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0} Q$ -a.s.

Conversely, if $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$ satisfying $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0} Q$ -a.s., then from Theorem 2.1 and (2.1),

$$0 = H(Q) = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega_+)} H_0(Q') \rho(dQ')$$

this implies $H_0(Q') = 0$ ρ -a.s. and hence, applying Theorem 2.1 once more, we see that for ρ almost all Q' , $Q'_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0} Q'$ -a.s. Now from (2) and (2.1) we obtain

$$H_0(Q) = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega_+)} H_0(Q') \rho(dQ') = 0$$

proving the theorem. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Clearly, $P_v \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$ implies $v \in (m_i(E) \cap m_s(E))_e$. Now let $v \in (m_i(E) \cap m_s(E))_e$. From Theorem 1.1 and the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 we know that $H_0(P_v) = 0$. Taking $Q = P_v$ in (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$0 = H_0(P_v) = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega_+)} H_0(Q') \rho(dQ')$$

Hence for ρ almost all Q' , $Q'_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0} Q'$ -a.s. and therefore, $\mu_{Q'} \in m_i(E) \cap m_s(E)$. Now the representation

$$v = \int_{M_s^e(\Omega_+)} \mu_{Q'} \rho(dQ') \tag{2.3}$$

and the extremality of v imply $v = \mu_{Q'}$ ρ -a.s. and hence $P_v \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$. (1.1) follows from (2.3). ■

3. APPLICATION TO SPIN PARTICLE SYSTEMS

A spin particle system on Z^d is a Feller Markov process with state space $E = \{0, 1\}^{Z^d}$ determined by a family of spin flip rates $\{c(i, \cdot), i \in Z^d\}$,

where for each $i \in Z^d$, $c(i, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative function of E . In this paper we assume the system to be translation invariant with finite range interactions, i.e., we assume that there are a nonnegative function $c_0(\cdot)$ on E and a finite subset Λ of Z^d , such that $c_0(\eta)$ depends on η only through its coordinates in Λ , and that $\forall i \in Z^d$,

$$c(i, \eta) = c_0(\theta_0, i\eta), \quad \eta \in E$$

Under these assumptions, from ref. 7 we know that $\{c(i, \cdot), i \in Z^d\}$, determines a unique Feller Markov process $\{P_\eta, \eta \in E\}$ on Ω_+ . For such a system, in ref. 1 we obtained a partial form of a variational principle, i.e., there exists a nonnegative function H_0 on $m_s(\Omega_+)$ which is affine and lsc, such that for $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$.

If $H_0(Q) = 0$, then $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s. Conversely, if $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s. and $H_{0,1}(Q) < \infty$, then $H_0(Q) = 0$, where $H_{0,1}$ is used for H_0 with $c_0(\eta) \equiv 1$.

By that time, we were unable to remove the condition $H_{0,1}(Q) < \infty$ which is unsatisfactory. Now Theorem 1.1 allows us to do this, i.e., we will prove the following

Theorem 3.1. Given any translation invariant spin system with finite range interactions. For $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$, $H_0(Q) = 0$ iff $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s.

Proof. From the above results and Theorem 1.1 we know that we only need to show that if $Q \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$ satisfying $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s., then $H_0(Q) = 0$. To do this, we need a large deviation estimate. First, we introduce some notations. For $n \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega_+$, define a space-time empirical process on Ω_+ as follows:

$$R_{n,\omega} = \frac{1}{n^{d+1}} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_n} \int_0^n \delta_{\theta_s, i\omega^n} dt$$

where $\Lambda_n = \{i \in Z^d, 1 \leq i_j \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq d\}$, ω^n is the space-time n -periodical element of ω defined by

$$\omega_{s+nt}^n(i + nj) = \omega_s(i), \quad 0 \leq s \leq n, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i \in \Lambda_n, \quad j \in Z^d,$$

with $nj = (nj_1, \dots, nj_d)$. From ref. 1 we know that for $Q \in M_s(\Omega_+)$, if $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s., then $\forall \delta > 0$, there is a neighborhood V_Q of Q_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+1}} \log Q(R_n \in V_Q) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+1}} \log \sup_{\eta} P_\eta(R_n \in V_Q) \\ &\leq \begin{cases} -H_0(Q) + \delta & \text{if } H_0(Q) < \infty \\ -\frac{1}{\delta} & \text{if } H_0(Q) = \infty \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $Q \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$, then $Q(R_n \in V_Q) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with the above inequality we see that for $Q \in M_s^e(\Omega_+)$ with $Q_\omega^p = P_{\omega_0}$ Q -a.s., $H_0(Q) = 0$, proving the theorem. ■

Remark 3. The finite range assumption can be removed and be replaced by a very general summable condition on c_0 (cf. ref. 7, Chap. 3), hence the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds for a much wider class of spin systems. We will not give the details here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank a referee for the suggestion of rewriting the paper in the present version. This work was supported by the NSF of China.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Chen, Large deviations and ergodicity for spin particle systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **91**:369–393 (1998).
2. J. W. Chen, Shift ergodicity for stationary Markov processes, Preprint.
3. P. Dai Pra, Space-time large deviations for interacting particle systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (1993).
4. P. Dai Pra, Large deviations and stationary measures for interacting particle systems, *Stoch. Processes Appl.* **48**:9–30 (1993).
5. J. D. Deuschel and D. W. Stroock, *Large Deviations* (Academic Press, New York, 1989).
6. M. D. Donsker and S. R. S. Varadhan, Asymptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectation for large time, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36**:183–212 (1983).
7. T. M. Liggett, *Interacting Particle Systems* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).

Communicated by J. L. Lebowitz